MINUTES OF MEETING OF
WASHINGTON COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
Held August 1, 2022
Washington County Conference Room

Regular meeting of the Washington County Plan Commission was called to order at 6:30 p.m. Commission members present were Emily Rodman, Danielle Walker, Todd Ewen, Deirdre Miller, Mark Hartman, Kevin Baird, Mark "Bubba" Abbott, and Andrew Katt; absent was Tom Day. Board of Zoning Appeals member Mark Hartman was present; absent were John Mishler and Lori Gilstrap. Also present were Plan Director, Travis Elliott, and Commission Attorney John Mead.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Andrew; Todd offered prayer.

Minutes of the July 11, 2022 meeting were reviewed. Upon motion by Todd, second by Bubba, the minutes were unanimously approved as presented.

The Commission reviewed §516: Solar Farm. Following review, upon motion by Kevin, second by Andrew, the Commission unanimously approved §516 as presented.

The Commission next reviewed §517: Telecommunications Facilities. There was discussion on the likes and dislikes of the Jackson County Section 8-6 language, as well as the Floyd County language. Following discussion, upon motion by Todd, second by Bubba, the Commission unanimously approved adoption of the Jackson County Section 8-6 language to be incorporated as language for §517. Additionally, upon motion by Andrew, second by Todd, the Commission unanimously approved to revise the term "cellular" to "telecommunication".

There was brief discussion regarding §518.1.3

There being no further or other business to come before the meeting, upon motion by Todd, second by Kevin, the meeting adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Washington County Plan Commission by:

Emily Rodman
Emily Rodman, President

Danielle J. Walker
Danielle Walker, Vice President

Todd M. Ewen
Todd Ewen

Kevin Baird

Deirdre Miller

Mark Hartman
Mark Hartman

Mark "Bubba" Abbott

Andrew Katt
Andrew Katt

Washington County Planning Commission Guest Speaker(s) Sign in Sheet

Date | *time* 8/1/2022 | 6:30-8:00pm

Location: Commissioners & Council Chambers (Courtroom)

Guest Speakers:

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.

5.03 Time Limits:

1.0 Discussion of any Item shall be limited as follows:

- **a.** The petitioner shall have 15 minutes to present his or her case.
- **b.** Remonstrators and those in support:
  - **i.** Remonstrators and those in support shall have 3 minutes per person for the presentation of evidence in opposition or support. However, multiple individuals may defer, his or her comment time to a spokesperson who shall accrue time of those who defer, subject to a limit of 10 minutes for rebuttal and to answer questions raised by the public.
  - **ii.** The petitioner shall then have 5 minutes for rebuttal and to answer questions raised by the public.
- **c.** No limit shall be placed on the amount of time the WCPC/WCBZA takes to discuss a proposal.
- **d.** The WCPC/WCBZA may grant additional time for discussion if it deems it necessary to make an informed decision. The additional time should be allocated between the applicant and the public.